School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2018/19 s.y. School-based Grant - Programme Report

Name of School:	Leung Shek Chee College			
Staff-in-charge: Chan Oi Sze Juliana		Contact Telephone No.:	2349 6626	
A. The number of students	s (count by heads) benefitted under the	Grant is 130 (including A. 25 CSSA recipi	ients, B. 103 SFAS full-grant	

B. Information on Activities to be subsidised/complemented by the Grant.

recipients and C. 2 under school's discretionary quota).

*Name / Type of activity		tual no ticipa eligibl udent	ting e	attendance =	Period/Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$)	Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc)	Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable)	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)
	A	В	С						
Instrumental Training Classes	8	38	1	>75%		\$60,183.6	Attendance Rate		
Interest Classes	6	34	0	>75%	Oct 2018	\$4,716.5	Attendance Rate		
Sports Training	7	20	1	>75%	to Aug 2019	\$30,380	Attendance Rate		
Visits	2	17	0	100%		\$2,715	Attendance Rate		
Training Camp for Prefects and Big Sisters	7	19	0	100%	Oct 2018	\$9,620	Presentation		
An Exploration into the Sports Culture and Marine Technology of Qingdao	0	3	0	100%	May 2019	\$3,276	Presentation	EDB	
Nanjing Study Tour	0	6	0	100%	June 2019	\$5,653	Presentation	Wofoo Social Enterprises	
Exchange Tour in Wuhan and Yangtze River's Three Gorges	0	2	0	100%	July 2019	\$3,332	Presentation	EDB	
Chibi and Wuhan Study Tour	2	5	1	100%	July 2019	\$38,108.7	Presentation		

Science Camp (Jiangsu Study Tour)	0	4	0	100%	July 2019	\$2,240	Report	Hong Kong Direct Subsidy Scheme Schools Council	
Australia Study Tour 2018	0	2	0	100%	July – Aug 2019	\$7,000	Presentation	Catholic Diocese of HK	
五四百周年雙城考察:北京 及巴黎之旅	1	0	0	100%	July - Aug 2019	\$2,800	Presentation		
Total no. of activities: 39									
@No. of man-times	33	150	3		Total Ermanasa	¢170.024.9			
**Total no. of man-times		186			Total Expenses	\$170,024.8			

Note:

^{*} Types of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

[@] Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above. ** Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)

[#] Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA (A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (not more than 25%) (C).

C.Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

	In	nproved		No Change	Declining	Not Applicable
Please put a "✓" against the most appropriate box.	Significant	Moderate	Slight			
Learning Effectiveness						
a) Students' motivation for learning		✓				
b) Students' study skills			✓			
c) Students' academic achievement		✓				
d) Students' learning experience outside classroom	✓					
e) Your overall view on students' learning effectiveness		✓				
Personal and Social Development				_		
f) Students' self-esteem	✓					
g) Students' self-management skills		✓				
h) Students' social skills	✓					
i) Students' interpersonal skills	✓					
j) Students' cooperativeness with others		✓				
k) Students' attitudes toward schooling		✓				
l) Students' outlook on life			✓			
m) Your overall view on students' personal and social		✓				
development						
Community Involvement	1	1		ľ	1	
n) Students' participation in extracurricular and voluntary	✓					
activities						
o) Students' sense of belonging		✓				
p) Students' understanding on the community		✓				
q) Your overall view on students' community involvement		✓				

D. Comments on the project conducted Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project (You may tick more than one box) unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant); difficult to select suitable non-eligible students to fill the discretionary quota; eligible students unwilling to join the programmes (Please specify:______ the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory; tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory; the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload; complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB; the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming; Others (Please specify): Do you have any feedback from students and their parents? E. Are they satisfied with the service provided? (optional)